Post

U.S DISTRICT COURT FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS FILED

JAN 2 4 2012 4 THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

BURNEY ROBINSON

VS.

COOK COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY AND ILLINOIS DEPT. CORRECTIONS

Judge maria Valdez

11-CV-009208

MOTION FOR JUDGMENT

I'M BRING THIS SUIT BASED ON FOURTH AMENDMENT VIOLATION AND INTENTIONAL DELAY BECAUSE OF THE STATUE OF LIMITATION THAT THE STATE VIOLATED INTENTIONALLY. I MR. ROBINSON WAS DELIBERATELY DETAIN IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO DO PROCESS THE PRETENSE OF THE STATE DISCOVERY IS A LEGAL CONFLICT IT RE-INVENT A CLAIM AND THE MECHANIC OF THE PROCEDURES

TO UPHOLD A POSITION WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE PRIMA FACIA EVIDENCE. WHICH CONFLICT THIS CASE BECAUSE OF STATE CONTROL OF MY PERSON THE RIGHT TO BE BEFORE THE COURT TO DEFEND ARGUEMENT AGAINST ME AND MY LIBERTY IS MY RIGHT. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE MAGISRATE ACT WAS VIOLATED BY THE STATE

BY NOT PROPER CLARIFYING THE DETENTION IF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY HAD ADDRESSED MR. ROBINSON AND THE COURT MR. ROBINSON WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO PRESENT A DEFENSE. THE ACTIONS OF THE STATE WEIGHED ON THE MENTAL STATE OF MR. ROBINSON AS THE COURT REVIEW THE CONFINEMENT. HUDSON VS. McMILLIAN, 503 U.S 1, 1992, AND FARMER VS. BRENNAN 511 U.S 825, 835-836, 1994. THE MENTAL STATE WAS A CAUSE OF THE FAIL ADMINISTRATIVE MECHANISMS OF THE STATE AND STATED GRIEVANCE THAT WERE INTEROGATION BEFORE A HEARING TO CONFINEMENT. THE REMEDIES SHOULD HAVE BEEN PLAIN, SPPEDY AND ACCURATE BECAUSE OF EVIDENTARY DISTINCTION THAT ARE DRAWN FOR CONCLUSIONS BY THE COURT. THE PROLONGED STATE CUSTODY WAS PUNISHMENT. WE BELIEVE THAT LEGAL DEFECTS IN THE CASE WAS NEVER CONDUCTED AND GUIDELINES FOR PROCEEDING WERE NOT FOLLOWED SUCH AS THE RULES FOR HABEAS BEFORE THE COURT. BECAUSE OF STATE CONTROL MR. ROBINSON COULD NOT PRESENT HIMSELF TO THE COURT. WE ARGUE THE CONDITION THAT ASSISTED IN DENYING A CIVIL HEARING FOR POSSIBLE DAMAGES AND DISMISSAL OF CHARGES THIS HAPPEN IN THE STATE COURT AS WELL AS THE FEDERAL COURT THE RELEVANT OF CONTINUED STATE CONTROL. THE MAGISRATE COULD NOT HAVE KNOWN FULL THAT CLAIM.

TITLE 28 U.S.C 636 B, 1... THE PARTICPATION IN THE PRE-TRIAL HEARING AS IN THE NUSSLE CASE. I MR. ROBINSON COULD NOT IDENTIFY PROPERLY THE CONDITIONS UNDER SUCH CONDITIONS THIS ENHANCE THE MENTAL ANXEITY OF THE SITUATIONS WITH MISCONDUCT DO TO PROCEDURE VIOLATIONS. AND JURIDICTIONAL CONTROLS THE STATE LIABLITY IS A CIRCUMSTANCE OF MOMENTARY VIOLATIONS OF MY LIBERTIES THE DAMAGES WERE THE OCCURRENCES PLACE BY DOCUMENTARY MATERIAL MALICE IN THE WORDING OF THE DOCUMENTS FALSE IMPRISONED ME. THIS EFFECTED THE STATUE OF LIMITATIONS FOR THE STATE WE EXCEED THERE

IS A SOLUTION FOR A SUM CERTAIN AND A STATE'S JUSTIFICATION TO RESOLVE THE CHARGE FORTHWITH ON EVIDENCE FROM THE CLAIM AND POST-CONVICTION REVIEW

OF PRIMA FACIA EVIDENCE

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

BURNEY ROPINSON

CASE# 11- CV-09208

N THE CIRCUIT OF THE First JUDICI CIRCUIT,
N THE CIRCUIT OF THE FIRST JUDICI CIRCUIT, COUNTY, ILLINOIS TECEIVED
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS)
SAIN T 3 JUNE
Burney Robinson) Case No. M 10401234 GEERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
Burney Robinson) Case No. M 10401236 GLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT Defendant) GRIMINAL DIVISION
Defendant)
DEMAND FOR SPEEDY TRIAL AND/OR QUASH WARRANT 11-6-0920
The defendant, Burney hobinson, petitions this court and demands a speedy
The defendant, Burney hobinsen, petitions this court and demands a speedy trial pursuant to 730 ILCS 5/3-8-10 (2001) (Intrastate Detainer) and 725 ILCS 5/103-5 (2001) decide (Speedy Trial) and Article I, Section 8 of the Illinois Constitution.
In support of this demand the defendant states the following: RETURNED
JAN 0 3 2012
1. He is presently incarcerated at the <u>Gales barg</u> Correctional Center, <u>Gales barg</u> , Illinois <u>Gales barg</u> .
2. He was convicted of Parole Victorian in the County of
Cook , on 1/24, 2004, and sentenced to years.
3. A term of years remains to be served for this conviction.
4. The following charges are pending against the Defendant in your county:
Parole Violation Warrant # M10401236
WHEREFORE, the Defendant respectfully demands that the State's Attorney of
County proceed to bring him to trial on the above stated charge(s) within
the 160 days as allowed by law. Burney Robenson
Burney Robinson No. 13-15654
Defendant
Gales buya Correctional Center
P.O. Box 1700, Illinois
_ Gate but a, Amou
Subscribed and sworm to before me this
$\frac{10 \text{ day of } 2005.}{}$
Lenard & Palmer
Notary Public "OFFICIAL SEAL"
Lenard E. Palmer
Revised Jan 2002 Notary Public, State of Illinois My Commission Exp. 09/29/2007

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT COOK COUNTY CRIMINAL DIVISION, ILLINOIS STATE OF ILLINOIS Plaintiff Case No. M10401236 V. Rurney Robinson PROOF/CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO: Ms. Dorothy Brown, Clerk TO: Mr. Richard Devine Circuit Court Cook County State's Attorney Ck Cty 2650 So. California Ave., Rm. 526 2650 So. California Ave., Rm. 11-D-Chicago, IL 60608 Chicago, IL 60608 , 20 6 I have filed with the PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \-\0 U.S. Mail through the HENRY HILL Correctional Center the following documents, properly addressed to the parties above: JEMAND EREEDY TRUM I further declare, under penalty of perjury, that I am the Plaintiff in the above action, that I have read the above documents, and that the information contained therein is true and correct. 28 USC 1746 and 18 USC 1621.

DATE: \-\0-05 IDOC#: B-1565L Henry Hill Correctional Center P.O. BOX 1700

IL 61401 Galesburg

Parole Violation Report

Parole Violation Report				
Section A: Violation Details	AKA_FROM		ID#B15654	
Ralesassa: Burncy Robinson		Date of Birth	08/28/1966	
Parent Facility: Centralia	Pl Asian Amarican	Hispanic	☐ Native American ☐ Otter	
Gender: Ø Maile ☐ Famale Race: ☐ Caucastan ☑ African American	D)Commercial	G	CJ#:	
FBI#: 951392MA8 1.Pl.#: 819080		A	lolation Date: 01/17/06	
Holopse Date: 01/16/2014 Semence Sup. Date: 01/17/2	106		dy Date:	
Offener(2): mlc#3 and #9		2 10/movement 1	10000 mp. 11: 91/29/04	
Description of the Alleged Violation (Include date, time, place and description violation); arrest date and arresting agency; criminal charges; and custody/sourthond into the Contralia Correctional Center with instructions to report to 1006 S. Staindividual since he did not have in his possession sufficient funds to reside answering service upon arrivial and he has failed to contact this agent since by this agent and it was reported by the hotel staff that he had not checked in the consided an instant absconder.	it is the storemention his rolesse. On In In rolessee, therefor	hotel. He was nunry 27, 2004 e his current w	a also instructed to contact AMS , the staff at the Ritz hotel was contacted thereabouts are unknown and he should	
be consided an instant asserting. Other Arrests or Parole or Mandatory Supervised Release Violation	Bone During the	Cause 201	pga erandir i carro	
Community Adjustment (twented only): N/A AMENDED (4/19/04): Offender arrest on AWOL status for being held at CPD 1st. No new	sted 4/19, or 82 days charges a	04 by I s, and i at this	nv Dewalt. s currently time.	
Agent's Recommendation (senctions, level, ARD date, etc.): It is recommended that the subject that the subject forfeit some good time rules governing his supervision.	to impress upon h	ím the importa	nce of remaining in compliance with the	
Attachments (dentily): warrant, notice of charges				
I hereby declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing declare and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Vivian Thomas Print Parole Aperila Name Supervisor Comments (if any):	Scription of allege	d Violations n	Desay Desay	
B. BREWNEY GRANDER SUPERIOR NO.	/i//St	Samerasor's Signa	mention is completed	

Section 8: Notice of Charges must be completed at the same time this section is completed

Distribution; Released; Releasing Authority; Released's Case File;
Parent Field Bentices Representative;
Hearing Officer; if FOS, Interstate Compact

Page 1 of 2

Printed on Recycled Paper

DOC 0071 (Eff. 1/2002)

Obstruction to administration of justice

Obstructing Process;

Mr. robinson believe that the peoples case is defective from custodial and beyond the custody of mr. robinson in the cook county jail was procedural defective by evidence and time held in custody of the chicago police department. we ascert that on the date of july 12,01. to the date Dec 22.01. The state's attorney was aware that mr. robinson rights were violated and illegal search and seziure and a arrest that violates mr. robinson miranda rights. mr. robinson was under medication from the hospital and was'nt control of his persons. this information was witheld because it proves that the state's attorney violated mr. robinson fifth amendment rights a pre- determination of gulit was made before a hearing, the adminisrator of documents and fact material showed bias in bring this charge the conflict of law was a profile. By which the state's attorney persecuted mr. robinson the circuit court of cook county was not abreast of the scope of the indifference, because the remedies and legality was not fully reviewed because of the confinement multiple times in the wake of a favorable outcome for mr.robinson. Civil procedures jurisdictional denied and ommitted based on liablity. we ask this court based on the jurisdictional clause to determine if the detainment by the illinois department of corrections on 4/19/04, under the county of cook case# believe that the detainment was not of mr. robinson fault but of the case as a whole and the defectiveness there of the state's knowingly refuse to make corrections in pre-trial hearing. circumvent the powers and determination of this court for conflict, mr. robinson is entitled to relif because of a systemitic dismissal of charges, we ask this because of state's admission and the state's attorney admission. facts were sealed by the state. Witness and third party witnesses testimony is answer of conflict to the evidence and it's presentation.

As you consider we request the court consider illinois complied statue civil procedure;

735 ILCS 5/3-104, 735ILCS 5/3111, 735 ILCS 5/4 211, 735 ILSC 5/3 110, AND 745 ILCS 10/4 104.

AND federal case 04-c-5146 u.s district court of northern district of illinois.

we believe that mr. robinson is entitle to damages for imprisonment before trial or hearings while in a state insitution based on state control of mr. robinson.

respectfully submitted

BURNEY ROBINSON